

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Brown
Given Name	Deborah
Person ID	1285794
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Brown
Given Name	Deborah
Person ID	1285794
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Brown
Given Name	Deborah
Person ID	1285794
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The local residents have serious concerns regarding the traffic in this area and the fact that precious green belt is being constantly snatched by the likes of Peel Holding, not to mention the affect to local wildlife so it's really sad to know that now it's the council that are against us. The letter I have received refers to a lack of primary school place which I disagree with, it's high schools that we are struggling with and our nearest school had a catchment area of 0.8 miles, which we would not have met and this development will take even more spaces for us. That alone speaks to how over populated it feels in this area. It's clear that there is already a pollution

	<p>issue due to the amount of traffic and just to get out of the area on a normal working morning is unbelievably difficult. The infrastructure here already struggles with the amount of residents here already and building more homes will be nothing short of unethical.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>I don't see how there is any excuse for building on green belt when everybody knows there are other brown site areas that are suitable. Schools are already difficult to get into and even they are barely scraping good or unsatisfactory (ofsted). It doesn't take a rocket science to guess that pollutions levels will be extremely high and affecting our children. Just take an inspector to stand at Worsley Roundabout at 7 am on a weekday and he/she will agree. Affordable housing is important but not when it is at the detriment of people that already live in an area with a struggling infrastructure. Our sewers are always collapsing and there are no police available, ever, to deal with any issues we need help with. Please reconsider this crazy plan and actually try and protect our green belt.</p>